





ANS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS** Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

09/113,751

07/10/98

LAWRENCE

9

11379

TM02/1129

PAUL J ESATTO JR SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA GARDEN CITY NY 11530

EXAMINER COLBERT. F PAPER NUMBER

2172

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED:

11/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/113,751

Applicant(s)

Lawrence

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Group Art Unit 2172



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 25, 2000	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	s of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
received in this national stage application from t	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	, No(a)
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r NO(S)
 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO 	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
	•
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	IT IIIE I CHECITITO I FICHE

Application/Control Number: 09/113,751

Page 2

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-73 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledge.

Upon further reviewing of Group I, the Examiner has found three and species in this Group.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - (1) Claims 1-29 and 46-57, are drawn to the embodiment of figure 10;
 - (2) Claims 30-45 and 58-73, are drawn to the embodiment of figure 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/113,751

Page 3

Art Unit: 2172

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

INQUIRIES

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is (703)308-7064. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu, can be reached on (703)305-4393.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703)308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry).

Art Unit: 2172

Or:

(703)308-5403 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-9600.

Colbert November 20, 2000

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100